

**ENTERED**

May 11, 2020

David J. Bradley, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

ANDREW MCCLENDON,

Petitioner,

v.

LORIE DAVIS,

Respondent.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:19-CV-308

**ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION**

Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Julie K. Hampton's Memorandum and Recommendation (M&R), entered on April 7, 2020. (D.E. 14). The M&R recommends that the Court grant Respondent's motion for summary judgment (D.E. 12) and deny McClendon's § 2254 petition. It is further recommended that a Certificate of Appealability be denied.

The parties were provided proper notice of, and the opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's M&R. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); General Order No. 2002-13. No objection has been filed. When no timely objection has been filed, the district court need only determine whether the magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (per curiam); *Powell v. Litton Loan Servicing, LP*, No. CIV. A. H-14-2700, 2015 WL 3823141, at \*1 (S.D. Tex. June 18, 2015).

Having carefully reviewed the proposed findings and conclusions of the Magistrate

Judge, the filings of the parties, the record, and the applicable law, and finding that the M&R is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law, the Court **ADOPTS** the M&R in its entirety. (D.E. 14). Accordingly, Respondent's motion for summary judgment (D.E. 12) is **GRANTED**, and McClendon's § 2254 petition is **DISMISSED**. A Certificate of Appealability is also hereby **DENIED**.

SIGNED and ORDERED this 11th day of May 2020.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'D. Morales', is written over a horizontal line.

DAVID S. MORALES  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE